



*William D. Reilich*  
*Supervisor*

# **TOWN OF GREECE**

## **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES**

**APRIL 19, 2016**

**Work Session Began: 6:30 p.m.**

**Meeting Began: 7:00 p.m.**

**Place: Community Conference Room, Greece Town Hall**

### **Present**

Albert F. Meilutis, Chairman

Andrew P. Forsythe

Thomas Hartwig

Cathleen A. Nigro

Bradford Shea

Christopher A. Schiano, Esq., Deputy Town Attorney

John T. Caterino, Planning Assistant

Maryjo Santoli, Zoning Board Secretary

### **Absent**

Robert J. Bilsky

Randy T. Jensen

### **Additions, Deletions and Continuances to the Agenda**

### **Announcements**

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

**Old Business:**

**None**

**New Business:**

1. Applicant: Sean Lemcke  
Location: 225 Emery Run  
Mon. Co. Tax No.: 045.02-7-34  
Zoning District: R1-12 (Single-Family Residential)  
Request: An area variance for a proposed 6.0-foot-high, closed-construction fence (102± linear feet) to be located in a front yard, where fences in a front yard shall not exceed 4.0 feet in height and shall be of open construction. Sec. 211-46 L

**On a motion by Mr. Hartwig and seconded by Mr. Shea, it was resolved to continue the public hearing on this application until the meeting of May 3, 2016 in order to give staff time to re-advertise for an additional variance request.**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>Mr. Bilsky</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Mr. Forsythe</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Hartwig</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Jensen</b>	<b>Absent</b>
	<b>Mr. Meilutis</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Ms. Nigro</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Shea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		

**Motion Carried  
Application Continued until  
Meeting of May 3, 2016**

---

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

2. Applicant Christopher Majcher  
Location: 786 Stone Road  
Mon. Co. Tax No.: 075.06-7-17  
Zoning District: R1-E (Single-Family Residential)  
Request: a) An area variance for a proposed 6.0-foot-high, closed-construction fence (75.0± linear feet) to be located in a front yard, where fences in a front yard shall not exceed 4.0 feet in height and shall be of open construction. Sec. 211-46L  
b) An area variance for a proposed 10.0-foot-high, closed-construction fence (35.0± linear feet) to be located in a front yard, where fences in a front yard shall not exceed 4.0 feet in height and shall be of open construction. Sec. 211-46L  
c) An area variance for a proposed 10.0-foot-high, closed-construction fence (162.0± linear feet) to be located in the side and rear yards, where fences in side and rear yards shall not exceed 6.0 feet in height. Sec. 211-47

**Mr. Forsythe offered the following resolution and moved for its adoption:**

WHEREAS, the Applicant came before the Town of Greece Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board of Zoning Appeals") relative to the property at 786 Stone Road, as outlined above; and

WHEREAS, having considered carefully all relevant documentary, testimonial and other evidence submitted, the Board of Zoning Appeals makes the following findings:

1. Upon review of the application, the Board of Zoning Appeals determined that the application is subject to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York State Environmental Conservation Law, Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617, the "SEQRA Regulations") (collectively, "SEQRA"), and that the application constitutes a Type II action under SEQRA. (SEQRA Regulations, §617.5(c)(10).)
2. According to SEQRA, Type II actions have been determined not to have a significant adverse impact on the environment and are not subject to further review under SEQRA.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED that, based on the aforementioned documentation, testimony, information and findings, SEQRA requires no further action relative to this proposal.

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

**Seconded by Mr. Shea and duly put to a vote, which resulted as follows:**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>Mr. Bilsky</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Mr. Forsythe</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Hartwig</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Jensen</b>	<b>Absent</b>
	<b>Mr. Meilutis</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Ms. Nigro</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Shea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		

**Motion Carried**

---

**Mr. Forsythe then offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:**

Mr. Chairman, regarding the application of Christopher Majcher, 786 Stone Road, Mr. Majcher appeared before the Board of Zoning Appeals this evening, requesting an area variance for a proposed 6.0-foot-high, closed-construction fence (75.0± linear feet) to be located in a front yard, where fences in a front yard shall not exceed 4.0 feet in height and shall be of open construction; an area variance for a proposed 10.0-foot-high, closed-construction fence (35.0± linear feet) to be located in a front yard, where fences in a front yard shall not exceed 4.0 feet in height and shall be of open construction; and an area variance for a proposed 10.0-foot-high, closed-construction fence (162.0± linear feet) to be located in the side and rear yards, where fences in side and rear yards shall not exceed 6.0 feet in height.

The findings of fact are as follows. Mr. Majcher appeared before the Board tonight to express his interest in putting up a higher fence, a ten-foot and a six-foot along the front, for a number of reasons. First and foremost, for the safety and security for that of his wife and small child. He has a neighbor that lives at 792 Stone Road. It is a rental property where there has been documented over 35 calls for service in the last couple of years there, varying from animal calls to drug-related calls to fight/assault calls. Mr. Majcher originally had proposed a ten-foot-high fence in the back yard, but after questions from the Board, he has agreed to have an eight-foot-high fence of wood constructed along the back rear of his property. It will be six feet in height and a two-foot lattice, for a total of eight feet. Along his front yard, he has agreed to a six-foot-high fence, which will be four feet in height and then a two-foot lattice, for a total of six feet. This will be of wood construction, with the lattice on the top. In addition to the safety and security, he would also like some privacy for his small child, his one-year-old, who lives in the front of the house where there is access from his neighbors cutting through his front yard, which technically is considered the side yard.

Having reviewed all the testimony and evidence as just summarized in the findings of fact, and having considered the five statutory factors set forth in New York State Town Law, Section 267-b, and finding that the evidence presented meets the requirements of this Section, and having found that there is no significant detriment to the health, safety, and welfare of the neighborhood or community and that the benefit to the applicant is substantial, and having found that this is a Type II action under SEQRA, requiring no further action by this Board, I move to approve this application, with the following conditions:

1. That all proper permits are obtained from the Town.
2. That they are in compliance with applicable code.
3. Regarding variances "a" and "b," as offered and agreed to by the applicant, the fence will be a maximum total height of six (6) feet—consisting of a maximum of four (4) feet of solid wood construction and two (2) feet of lattice. Regarding variance "c," as

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

offered and agreed to by the applicant, the fence will be a maximum total height of eight (8) feet—consisting of a maximum of six (6) feet of solid wood construction and two (2) feet of lattice.

**Seconded by Mr. Shea and duly put to a vote, which resulted as follows:**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>Mr. Bilsky</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Mr. Forsythe</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Hartwig</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Jensen</b>	<b>Absent</b>
	<b>Mr. Meilutis</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Ms. Nigro</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Shea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		

**Motion Carried**  
**Application Approved**  
**With Conditions and Modifications**

---

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

3. Applicant: Janine Barry  
Location: 2084 Edgemere Drive  
Mon. Co. Tax No.: 026.20-1-37  
Zoning District: R1-E (Single-Family Residential)  
Request: a) An area variance for a proposed two-story addition (1444± square feet) to have a rear setback of 22.0± feet (measured from the north right-of-way line of Old Edgemere Drive), instead of the 34.9 feet minimum required. Sec. 211-11 D (2), Table I  
b) An area variance for a proposed second-story deck (12.04 feet x 26.67 feet; 321.1 square feet, including a covered area of 137.0 square feet) to be located in a waterfront yard, where accessory structures, such as decks, are permitted in rear yards only. Sec. 211-11 E (3)  
c) An area variance for existing and proposed accessory structures which result in a total gross floor area of 1201± square feet, instead of the 1000 square feet maximum gross floor area permitted for accessory structures on lots with a lot area of 16,000 square feet to one (1) acre. Sec. 211-11 E (1), Table I  
d) An area variance for an existing deck (754± square feet) to be located in a waterfront yard, where accessory structures, such as decks, are permitted in rear yards only; and for said deck to have a front setback of 117± feet (measured from the north right-of-way line of Old Edgemere Drive) instead of the 78.3 feet maximum established by the neighborhood average. Sec. 211-11 E (3), Sec. 211-11 E (1), Table I  
e) An area variance for an existing second-story deck (374± square feet) to be located in a waterfront yard, where accessory structures, such as decks, are permitted in the rear yard only. Sec. 211-11 E (3)  
f) An area variance for an existing deck (324± square feet) to have a (west) side setback of 8.5± feet, instead of the 10.0 feet minimum required. Sec. 211-11 D (1), Table I

**Ms. Nigro offered the following resolution and moved for its adoption:**

WHEREAS, the Applicant came before the Town of Greece Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board of Zoning Appeals") relative to the property at 2084 Edgemere Drive, as outlined above; and

WHEREAS, having considered carefully all relevant documentary, testimonial and other evidence submitted, the Board of Zoning Appeals makes the following findings:

1. Upon review of the application, the Board of Zoning Appeals determined that the application is subject to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York State Environmental Conservation Law, Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617, the "SEQRA Regulations") (collectively, "SEQRA"), and that the application constitutes a Type II action under SEQRA. (SEQRA Regulations, §617.5(c)(9), (10) & (12).)

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

2. According to SEQRA, Type II actions have been determined not to have a significant adverse impact on the environment and are not subject to further review under SEQRA.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED that, based on the aforementioned documentation, testimony, information and findings, SEQRA requires no further action relative to this proposal.

**Seconded by Mr. Hartwig and duly put to a vote, which resulted as follows:**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>Mr. Bilsky</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Mr. Forsythe</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Hartwig</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Jensen</b>	<b>Absent</b>
	<b>Mr. Meilutis</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Ms. Nigro</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Shea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		

**Motion Carried**

---

**Ms. Nigro then offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:**

Mr. Chairman, regarding the application of Janine Barry, 2084 Edgemere Drive, Robert Hannon appeared before the Board of Zoning Appeals this evening, representing Janine Barry, who provided notarized approval to do so, requesting several area variances as mentioned above.

The findings of fact are as follows. This parcel is located at 2084 Edgemere Drive. Mr. and Mrs. Barry purchased this home four years ago to make it their permanent residence; they have family that live in the area. Item "a," the proposed two-story addition, is to provide extra living area as well as to have a first-floor master bedroom for easy transition as they age. It will also hold an area to showcase big game trophies and will consist of a first-floor garage, a laundry room, and master bedroom. The siding will match the existing home, and the 22-foot setback is in line with others in the neighborhood. If they brought the proposed two-story closer to the lake it would block the water view, which also raises concerns with lake levels. Item "b," the proposed second-story deck, is to enjoy the outside area and view. It will be made of composite material with vinyl handrails. It will have standard deck lighting, recessed lighting, in the covered area, as well as a ceiling fan and some electrical outlets. There is no plan to place a hot tub or a grill on it. Item "c," the proposed detached garage, will be used to store a boat and or watercraft. It will match the exterior of the existing home, will have standard lighting, and will not have water or heat. We have allowed garages up to 1500 square feet in the past, and this is well under that. Items "d" and "e," the existing first-floor and second-floor decks, are made of pressure-treated wood and have been there at least since the Barrys purchased the home; they both have standard deck lighting. The second-floor deck has no plans to be enclosed, will be made out of composite material, and it will not have a hot tub or grill. The condition of the decks is excellent. Item "f," this deck is 1.5 feet off the minimum, which is not excessive; it is a wood deck and does not have a hot tub or grill on it and has standard lighting. No one spoke opposing this request.

Having reviewed all the testimony and evidence as just summarized in the findings of fact, and having considered the five statutory factors set forth in New York State Town Law, Section 267-b, and finding that the evidence presented meets the requirements of this Section, and having found that there is no significant detriment to the health, safety, and

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

welfare of the neighborhood or community and that the benefit to the applicant is substantial, and having found that this is a Type II action under SEQRA, requiring no further action by this Board, I move to approve this application, with the following conditions:

1. That the applicant obtain all permits.
2. That hot tubs, grills and fire pits will not be allowed on the decks. No grills on the second-story deck and no permanent grills on the first-floor decks.

**Seconded by Mr. Hartwig and duly put to a vote, which resulted as follows:**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>Mr. Bilsky</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Mr. Forsythe</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Hartwig</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Jensen</b>	<b>Absent</b>
	<b>Mr. Meilutis</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Ms. Nigro</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Shea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		

**Motion Carried**  
**Application Approved**  
**With Conditions**

---

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

4. Applicant: Fuccillo Automotive Group  
Location: 4291 West Ridge Road  
Mon. Co. Tax No.: 073.01-3-26.1  
Zoning District: BG (General Business)  
Request: A special use permit for the sale or lease of new and used cars and trucks, including related repair or service facilities; and for the outdoor storage or display of motor vehicles. Sec. 211-17 C (3) (b) [3], Sec. 211-17 C (3) (b) [4], Sec. 211-35

**Mr. Hartwig offered the following resolution and moved for its adoption:**

WHEREAS, the Applicant came before the Town of Greece Board of Zoning Appeals (the "Board of Zoning Appeals") relative to the property at 4291 West Ridge Road, as outlined above; and

WHEREAS, having considered carefully all relevant documentary, testimonial and other evidence submitted, the Board of Zoning Appeals makes the following findings:

1. Upon review of the application, the Board of Zoning Appeals determined that the application is subject to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York State Environmental Conservation Law, Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617, the "SEQRA Regulations") (collectively, "SEQRA"), and that the application constitutes an Unlisted action under SEQRA.
2. The Board of Zoning Appeals has considered the Proposal at a public meeting (the "Meeting") in the Greece Town Hall, 1 Vince Tofany Boulevard, at which time all parties in interest were afforded an opportunity to be heard.
3. Documentary, testimonial, and other evidence were presented at the Meeting relative to the Proposal for the Board of Zoning Appeals' consideration.
4. The Board of Zoning Appeals has carefully considered an Environmental Assessment Form ("EAF") and supplementary information prepared by the Applicant and the Applicant's representatives, including but not limited to supplemental maps, drawings, descriptions, analyses, reports, and reviews (collectively, the "Environmental Analysis").
5. The Board of Zoning Appeals has carefully considered additional information and comments that resulted from telephone conversations or meetings with or written correspondence from the Applicant and the Applicant's representatives.
6. The Board of Zoning Appeals has carefully considered information, recommendations, and comments that resulted from telephone conversations or meetings with or written correspondence from various involved and interested agencies, including but not limited to the Monroe County Department of Planning and Development and the Town's own staff.
7. The Board of Zoning Appeals has carefully considered information, recommendations, and comments that resulted from telephone conversations or meetings with or written correspondence from nearby property owners, and all other comments submitted to the Board of Zoning Appeals as of this date.
8. The Environmental Analysis examined the relevant issues associated with the Proposal.

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

9. The Board of Zoning Appeals has completed Parts 2 and 3 of the EAF, and has carefully considered the information contained therein.
10. The Board of Zoning Appeals has met the procedural and substantive requirements of SEQRA.
11. The Board of Zoning Appeals has carefully considered each and every criterion for determining the potential significance of the Proposal upon the environment, as set forth in SEQRA.
12. The Board of Zoning Appeals has carefully considered (that is, has taken the required "hard look" at) the Proposal and the relevant environmental impacts, facts, and conclusions disclosed in the Environmental Analysis.
13. The Board of Zoning Appeals concurs with the information and conclusions contained in the Environmental Analysis.
14. The Board of Zoning Appeals has made a careful, independent review of the Proposal and the Board of Zoning Appeals' determination is rational and supported by substantial evidence, as set forth herein.
15. To the maximum extent practicable, potential adverse environmental effects revealed in the environmental review process will be minimized or avoided by the Applicant's voluntary incorporation of features and measures that were identified as practicable.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED that, pursuant to SEQRA, based on the aforementioned information, documentation, testimony, and findings, and after examining the relevant issues, the Board of Zoning Appeals' own initial concerns, and all relevant issues raised and recommendations offered by involved and interested agencies and the Town's own staff, the Board of Zoning Appeals determines that the Proposal will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment, which constitutes a negative declaration.

**Seconded by Mr. Shea and duly put to a vote, which resulted as follows:**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>Mr. Bilsky</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Mr. Forsythe</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Hartwig</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Jensen</b>	<b>Absent</b>
	<b>Mr. Meilutis</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Ms. Nigro</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Shea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		

**Motion Carried**

---

**Mr. Hartwig then offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:**

Mr. Chairman, with regard to the application of Fuccillo Automotive Group, 4291 West Ridge Road, the applicants appeared before the Board of Zoning Appeals this evening, requesting a special use permit for the sale or lease of new and used cars and trucks, including related repair or service facilities; and for the outdoor storage or display of motor vehicles.

WHEREAS, on the main motion, the findings of facts are as follows. This evening, Doug Eldred and Dave Perry appeared before the Board to request a special use permit for the sale or lease of new and used cars and trucks, including related repair or service facilities;

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

and for the outdoor storage or display of motor vehicles for the Fuccillo Automotive Group. Currently, the Fuccillo Group has been at their location for five years. This dealership, due to the business growth, their current facility is no longer adequate for this operation; therefore, they are requesting the need for this relocation to grow their business. At the new location, the types of businesses will be sales of new and used vehicles, related full service and repairs for these vehicles, and sale of automotive parts. The hours of operation will be Mondays through Fridays, from 7:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., Saturdays, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., and Sundays from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Currently, they plan on having between 60 and 85 employees working there. Due to the size of the lot, which is 8.1 acres, they are looking at establishing 677 parking spots on this facility, which will house the vehicles to be sold, vehicles that are being repaired, and also for the employees and customers use. As far as vehicles being delivered to the site, plans of the parcel show that car carriers will be driving onto the parcel and offloading the cars there, so that no cars will be offloaded on Ridge Road West, and this will be during working hours. If there is a chance where a car carrier comes late, after the facility is closed, the car carrier will be placed on the parcel and wait till the following morning to be offloaded.

In addition, discussions were concerned with the access to the site and the size of the site, if it was adequate for the use. As far as access to the site, the State Department of Transportation has approved the access. As a matter of fact, there are two points of access to it, and one has already been constructed. The size of the proposed area is 8.1 acres, which is larger than other dealerships in the area. The proposed use will not adversely affect the orderly pattern of development of the area, as utility connections are already in place on the site. The nature, duration, and intensity of the operations which are involved in or conducted in connection with the proposed use will be in harmony with the nearby uses and will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood, nor be detrimental to the residents thereof. Currently, there are numerous car dealerships in this area of West Ridge Road, so they would be in harmony with the existing businesses. The proposed use will not create a hazard to health, safety or the general welfare. All products and solvents will be done inside the building so that it will not be exposed to the outside air. The proposed use will not be detrimental to the flow of traffic in the vicinity. The New York State Department of Transportation has looked at that and has approved the traffic flow. As a matter of fact, they determined that the traffic being generated by this type of use would be less than a retail operation. The proposed use will not place an excessive burden on public improvements, facilities, services or utilities. The utilities on-site are adequate capacity for the use that is planned.

Therefore, based on the aforementioned information, testimony, documentation, and findings, pursuant to the authority conferred by New York State Town Law, Section 274-b, and pursuant to the Code of the Town of Greece, New York, Chapter 211 (Zoning) (the "Zoning Ordinance"), I move to approve this special permit subject to the following conditions:

1. The Applicant shall operate this automotive dealership in conformity with all details of the Proposal, as described in the written descriptions and site development plans of the Proposal, and as set forth herein. In the event of any conflict among the oral or written descriptions of the Proposal, the site development plans of the Proposal, or the requirements or restrictions of this resolution, the Board of Zoning Appeals, in its sole discretion and judgment and without hearing, shall determine the resolution of such conflict.
2. The maximum occupancies in this automotive dealership shall be the limits established by the Town's Fire Marshal pursuant to the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code.
3. The Applicant shall comply with all applicable federal, state, county, and Town laws, ordinances, codes, rules, and regulations, including but not limited to the New York

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code. Failure to comply with such requirements may be grounds for revocation of this special use permit.

4. Wherever this resolution refers to a specific applicant, developer, or operator, it shall be construed to include successors and assigns.
5. Wherever this resolution refers to a specific public official or agency, it shall be construed to include designees, successors, and assigns.
6. Wherever this resolution refers to a specific law, ordinance, code, rule, or regulation, it shall be construed to include any superseding authority.
7. Upon the sale or other transfer of controlling interest in this automotive group and any persons or entity other than Fuccillo Automotive Group, its wholly owned subsidiaries, or his franchisees, a new application for a special use permit must be submitted to the Board of Zoning Appeals.
8. That there be no offloading of vehicles on Ridge Road West, that all offloading must be done on-site.
9. That the hours of operation be Mondays through Fridays, 7:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., Saturdays, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., and Sundays, 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
10. That Planning Board approval must be obtained.
11. That 677 parking spaces must be shown on their final approved site plan.
12. All building permits must be obtained.
13. All codes and ordinances must be satisfied.
14. That no parking or storage of cars shall be in green areas that are determined by the Planning Board.
15. That there will be no associated signage in the green areas.
16. Also, as far as the car carriers (trucks unloading), that there will be no parking or waiting on West Ridge Road. They must be on the parcel. No blocking, parking or offloading on West Ridge Road.

**Seconded by Ms. Nigro and duly put to a vote, which resulted as follows:**

<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>Mr. Bilsky</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Mr. Forsythe</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Hartwig</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mr. Jensen</b>	<b>Absent</b>
	<b>Mr. Meilutis</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Ms. Nigro</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>Mr. Shea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		

**Motion Carried  
Application Approved  
With Conditions**

---

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MINUTES  
April 19, 2016

**ADJOURNMENT:** 8:20 p.m.

**APPROVAL OF BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING MINUTES**

The Board of Zoning Appeals of the Town of Greece, in the County of Monroe and State of New York, rendered the above decisions.

**Signed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

Albert F. Meilutis, Chairman

**NEXT MEETING:** May 3, 2016